## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Reissue of:

Letters Patent 6,316,520

Inventor: Ihab Hekal

Serial No.: 10/714,474

Filed: November 13, 2003

Primary Examiner: Suzanne E. McDowell

Attorney Docket No.: 62357.017508

Group Art Unit: 1732

For:

Monolithic Polymer Composition Having A Releasing Material

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement is filed pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b)(3). In this regard, it is noted that there has not yet been a mailing of a first Office Action on the merits in the above-identified application.

Of further note, no representation is intended that a search has been made by applicant or that no better art references than those provided herewith are available. Moreover, the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement shall not be construed to be an admission that the information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability.

A copy of each reference cited in the attached Form PTO/SB/08A is included herewith.

No fee is believed to be due in connection with the filing of this paper. However, to the extent that any fee is due in connection with the filing of this paper, please charge such fee to Deposit Account No. 50-1561, in the name of Greenberg Traurig LLP.

Respectfully submitted, GREENBERG TRAURIG

Dated: June 6, 2005

Barry J. 8chindler

Registration No. 32,938

Mailing Address: GREENBERG TRAURIG Met Life Building 200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10166 Telephone: (212) 801-2244 Facsimile: (212) 688-6400

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449/PTO **Application Number** 10/714,474 INFORMATION DISCLOSURE Filing Date November 13, 2003 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT **First Named Inventor** Ihab M. Hekal Art Unit 1732 (Use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Suzanne E. McDowell **Attorney Docket Number** Sheet · 13 62357,017508

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue	T <sup>2</sup>
	1	number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.  SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP.TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S FIFTH SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO CSP'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1-11", (Pages 1-70)	
		(Attachment: "The Prior Art Invalidates The Asserted Claims") (Pages 1-15)	
	. 2	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.1-4", (Pages 1-8)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A" - Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	
	3	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1-4", (Pages 1-14)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A"-Letter From Kevin W. McCabe to Margaret M. Duncan, Esq., "Exhibit B"-Dictionary (Page 847), "Exhibit C"-Dictionary (Page 756)	
	-	"Exhibit D"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit E" (Page 1250), "Exhibit G"-Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	
			<del>``</del>

Examiner		Date	
Signature		Considered	· .

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents; P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are requ

Substitute for form 1449/PTO				Complete if Known		
				Application Number	10/714,474	
			CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STA	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
	(Use as many she	ets as n	necessarv)	Art Unit	1732	
(coo do many oneolo do neocosaly)				Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	2	of 13		Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	4	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1-4", (Pages 1-18)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A"-Letter From Kevin W. McCabe to Margaret M. Duncan, Esq., "Exhibit B"-Dictionary (Page 22), "Exhibit C"-Dictionary (Page 847)	
		"Exhibit D"-Dictionary (Page 756), "Exhibit E"-Dictionary (Page 921), "Exhibit F"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit G"-Dictionary (Page 1250), "Exhibit H"-Dictionary(Page 1251)	
		"Exhibit I"-Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	
	5	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S FOURTH SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 1-4", (Pages 1-15)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit A"-Letter From Kevin W. McCabe to Margaret M. Duncan, Esq., "Exhibit B"-Dictionary (Page 22), "Exhibit C"-Dictionary (Page 847)	
		"Exhibit D"-Dictionary (Page 756), "Exhibit E"-Dictionary (Page 921), "Exhibit F"-Dictionary (Page 1305), "Exhibit G"-Dictionary (Page 1250), "Exhibit H"-Dictionary(Page 1251)	
		"Exhibit I"-Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product")	
	6	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO SCI'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.5-6", (Pages 1-6)	*
	7	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO SCI'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS. 5 AND 6", (Pages 1-5)	

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	•

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number

Substitute for form 1449/PTO	Complete If Known			
<b>N</b>	Application Number	10/714,474		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003		
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	First Named Inventor	lhab M. Hekal		
(Use as many sheets as necessary)	Art Unit	1732		
	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell		
Sheet 3 of 13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508		

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS			
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.			
.:	8	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S RESPONSES TO SCI'S THIRD SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.7-11", (Pages 1-9)			
	9	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO SCI'S FOURTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES" (PAGES 1-8)			
	10	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO.5 (pages 1-11)			
. 11		SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' CORRECTED FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO.5", (pages 1-11)			
12		SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S ANSWERS TO PLAINTIFF SCI'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSON", (PAGES 1-3)			
		(Attachment: "CSP's Objections And Responses To SCI's Second Set Of Requests For Admission" (Pages 1-4) With Attachment.)			
	13	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S THIRD SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO CSP'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES NOS.1-11", (Pages 1-63)			
Ý.		(Attachment: "The Prior Art Invalidates The Asserted Claims") (Pages 1-13)			
1	14	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT". (Pages 1-5)			

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Complete If Known		
Application Number	10/714,474	
Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
Art Unit	1732	
Examiner Name.	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	
	Filing Date First Named Inventor Art Unit Examiner Name.	

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	15	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: " DECLARATION OF ROBERT S. ABRAMS IN SUPPORT OF CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER SUD-CHEMIE'S-	
	٠.	DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION", (Pages 1-5)	
		(Attachment: "Exhibit 1"- Letter From Klaus Langer to Robert S. Abrams, "Exhibit 2"- Letter From Robert S. Abrams Klaus Langer)	
	16	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER-	
		SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION";(Pages 1-23)	
	17	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SUD-CHEMIE, INC.'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT", (Pages 1-7)	
	18	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CONSENTED TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW THE DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMISS OR-	
		TRANSFER", (Pages 1-3)	
	19	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "ANSWER AND COUNTER CLAIMS OF DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.", (Pages 1-13)	

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
p a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

	ite for form 1449/PTO		<u> </u>	Complete if Known		
3				Application Number	10/714,474	
			CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				First Named Inventor	lhab M. Hekal	
	(Use as many she	efe se n	ecessary)	Art Unit	1732	
(030 as many should as nocessary)				Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	5	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

	1 67	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	20	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "JOINT PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN", (Pages 1-21)	
	21	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: PLAINTIFF SUD-CHEMIE, INC.'S REPLY TO THE COUNTERCLAIMS OF DEFENDANT CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.", (Pages 1-6)	
	22	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-8)	
	23	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' MOTION TO DISMISS SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIABLE-	
		CONTROVERSY AS TO CERTAIN PATENTS AND PRODUCTS", (Pages 1-3)	
	24	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS DISMISSING SUD-CHEMIE'S AMENDED THIRD COUNT FOR INEQUITABLE CONBUCT",-	
		(Pages 1-6)	
	25	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS SUD-CHEMIES DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION FOR LACK OF A-	
		JUSTICIABLE CONTROVERSY AS TO CERTAIN PATENTS AND PRODUCTS", (Pages 1-15)	
		SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-4)	

Examiner		Date	
Signature		Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Participant of Information Union 15 academy 15 acade

Substitute for form 1449/PTO		Complete if Known		
Substitution (String 1998)	Application Number	10/714,474		
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	L	November 13, 2003		
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	First Named Inventor	ihab M. Hekal		
(Use as many sheets as necessary)	Art Unit	1732		
(ose as many should as noodsally)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell		
Sheet 6 of 13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508		

Examiner	Cite	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS  Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of	T
Initials*	No.1	the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Τ²
	27	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS" (Pages 1-12)	
	28	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S OPENING BREIF ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION", (Pages 1-30)	
	29	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF SCI'S BREIF ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE '937, '006, AND '255 PATENTS", (Pages 1-29)	
	30	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: CSP TECHNOLOGIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-4)	
	31	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: " CSP TECHNOLOGIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS DISMISSING SUD-CHEMIE'S AMENDED THIRD-	•
		COUNT FOR INEQUITABLE CONDUCT", (Pages 1-9)	

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial If reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitu	te for form 1449/PTO				Complete if Known
02000				Application Number	10/714,474
			SCLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003
STA	ATEMENT E	BY A	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekai
	(Use as many she	ote se r	nacassarvi	Art Unit	1732
	(000 00 1110.17 3110			Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell
Sheet	7	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	32	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: CSP TECHNOLOGIES' REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS SUD-CHEMIE'S DECLARATORY JUDGMENT ACTION AS TO CERTAIN PATENTS AND-	
		PRODUCTS", (Pages 1-15)	
	33	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ITS ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIMS", (Pages 1-8)	
	34	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF SCI'S REPLY BREIF ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE '937, '006, AND '255 PATENTS", (Pages 1-22)	
	35	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S RESPONSE TO SCI'S BREIF ON CLAIM CONSTRUCTION", (Pages 1-36)	
	36	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-12)	
	37	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "PLAINTIFF SCI'S BREIF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-12)	
	38	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "OFFICIAL REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF MARKMAN HEARING", (Pages 1-106)	
	39	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' BREIF IN OPPOSITION TO SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-18)	
	40	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "DECLARATON OF CHRISTOPHER W. MACOSKO", (Pages 1-8)	

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	ute for form 1449/PT0		ct of 1995, no persons a	Complete if Known		
				Application Number	10/714,474	
			SCLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STA	ATEMENT	BY A	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
	(Use as many s	heets as r	necessary)	Art Unit	1732	
	(000 00 1110)			Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	8 .	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

Examiner	Cite	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of	Г
Initials*	No.1	the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T²
	41	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SECOND DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER W. MACOSKO", (Pages 1-6)	
	42	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: SCI'S REPLY TO SUR-REPLY BREIF REGARDING SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-5)	
	43	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIABLE CONTROVERSY", (Pages 1-3)	
	44	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S. PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICABLE-	
		CONTROVERSY (Pages 1-4)	
	45	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S OPPOSITION TO CSP'S MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIALBLE-	
		CONTROVERSY AND SCI'S CROSS-MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING THE PRESUMTION OF VALIDITY", (Pages 1-11)	
	46	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS ALL CLAIMS RELATING TO U.S. PATENT NO. 6,124,006 FOR LACK OF A JUSTICIABLE-	
		CONTROVERSY AND CSP'S OPPOSITION TO SCI'S CROSS-MOTION IN LIMINE REGARDING THE PRESUMTION OF VALIDITY", (Pages 1-13)	
·	47	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Pages 1-21	

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO:

Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	ite for form 1449/PTO		ct of 1995, no persons at	Complete if Known		
, Sansuu	10 10 10111 14401 10			Application Number	10/714,474	
INF	ORMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STA	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			First Named Inventor	lhab M. Hekal	
	(Use as many she	ute ac t	nocessan/i	Art Unit	1732	
	(USB 83 many She	A(2 92 1	ecessary)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	9	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	48	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES' SURREPLY IN OPPOSITION TO SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NO LITERAL INFRINGEMENT", (Page 1-17)	
	49	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: SCI'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-35)	
		(With Attachment Cited Below)	
	50	(Attachment No. 1:Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.:"CSP's Fourth Supplemental Responses To SCI's First Set-	
		Of Interrogatories Nos. 1-4") (Pages 1-15) With Attachment)	
	51	(Attachment No. 2: Hekal, "United States Patent No. 5,911,937")	
	52	(Attachment No. 3: Hekal, "United States Patent No. 6,124,006")	
	53	(Attachment No. 4: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "CSP's Answers To Plaintiff SCI's First Set Of Requests For Admission", (Pages 1-4)	
	54	(Attachment No. 5: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "CSP's Responses to SCI's Third Set Of Interrogatories Nos. 7-11", (Pages 1-9)	

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Suzanne E. McDowell

62357.017508

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete If Known Substitute for form 1449/PTO **Application Number** 10/714,474 Filing Date INFORMATION DISCLOSURE November 13, 2003 STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Ihab M. Hekal Art Unit 1732 (Use as many sheets as necessary)

Attorney Docket Number

**Examiner Name** 

Sheet

10

13

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of Examiner Cite the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue No. Initials\* number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published. (Attachment No. 6: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Declaration Of Professor Donald R. Paul In Support Of SCI's Motion For Summary Judgment Of-Patent Invalidity", (Pages 1-6) (Attachment No. 7: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: 56 "Deposition Transcript Of Ihab Hekal" (September 24, 2004) (7 Pages) (Attachment No. 8: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,130,263") 57 (Attachment No. 9: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,214,255 B1") (Attachment No. 10: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Deposition 59 Of Glenn Frederickson Taken On Tuesday, October 12, 2004", (19 Pages) (Attachment No.11: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: 60 "Videotaped Deposition Of Defendant By Ihab Hekal", (September 23, 2004) (7 Pages) (Attachment No. 12: Lancessenr, "United States Patent No. 5,432,214") 61

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1449/PTO				Complete if Known		
				Application Number	10/714,474	
			CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STA	ATEMENT !	BY A	PPLICANT	First Named Inventor	lhab M. Hekal	
	(Use as many sh	eets as r	necessary)	Art Unit	1732	
(000 00)				Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet 11 of 13			13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

Examiner	Cite	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T
	62	(Attachment No. 13: "Chart Comparing U.S. Patent No. 5,911,937, 6,124,006 and 6,214,255 to "SCI Infringing Product", (Pages 1-5)	
	63	(Attachment No. 14: "Project On Morphology Control In Polymer-Polymer-Particle Alloys", (Pages 1-2)	
	64	(Attachment No. 15: "European Patent Application No. 0,401,666 A2")	
	65	(Attachment No. 16: "Shigeta et al., United States patent No. 5,078,909")	
	66	(Attachment No. 17: "International Application No. PCT/US96/05261")	
	67	(Attachment No. 18: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,174,952 B1")	
	68	(Attachment No. 19: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,194,079 B1")	
	69	(Attachment No. 20: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,465,532 B1")	
	70	(Attachment No. 21: "Hekal, United States Patent No. 6,486,231 B1")	
	71	(Attachment No. 22: "Memo To Dr.Hekal From Rob Garren")	

Examiner	·	Date	
Signature		Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1449/PTO				Complete if Known		
Subsulute	10/10/11/1443//10			Application Number	10/714,474	
INFO	RMATION	DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STAT	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
	(lice or many she	ate oe r	nocessar/l	Art Unit	1732	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)			iocessary)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet 12 of 13		Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508			

	04.	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. <sup>1</sup>	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	72	(Attachment No. 23: Sud-Chemie, Inc. Vs. CSP Technologies, Inc.: "Deposition Of Robert Garren, on July 8, 2004", (4 Pages)	
	73	(Attachment No. 24: "Letter To Calvin L. Loop From Robert S. Abrams") (With Attachment)	
	74	(Attachment No. 25: "Letter To Calvin L. Loop From Robert S. Abrams")	
	75	(Attachment No. 26: "Memo To Calvin Loop From Rob Garren")	
	76	(Attachment No. 27: "Memo To Calvin Loop From Beki Urioste")	
	77	(Attachment No. 28: "Memo To Dr. Hekal, Clavin Loop, Robert Abrams From Rob Garren")	
	78	(Attachment No. 29: "Summary On New Polymer Technology")	
·	79	(Attachment No. 30: "Diagrams")	
	80	(Attachment No. 31: "Diagrams")	

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08B (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

	te for form 1449/PTO			Complete if Known		
Substitu	10 101101111 144317 10			Application Number	10/714,474	
INF	ORMATION	I DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	November 13, 2003	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				First Named Inventor	Ihab M. Hekal	
	(llan an many she	aate ae m	· ·	Art Unit	1732	
(Use as many sheets as necessary)			ecessary)	Examiner Name	Suzanne E. McDowell	
Sheet	13	of	13	Attorney Docket Number	62357.017508	

Examiner	Cite	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of	
Initials*	No. <sup>1</sup>	the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T <sup>2</sup>
	81	(Attachment No. 32: "Diagrams")	
	82	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S OPPOSITION TO SUD-CHEMIE, INC.'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND EXHIBITS 7, 9A, 9B, 9C,9D,9E,12,14,15 & 17",	
		(Pages 1-34)	
	83	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-21)	
	84	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "CSP'S LOCAL RULE 56.1(d) SURREPLY IN OPPOSITION TO SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-10)	
	85	SUD-CHEMIE, INC. VS. CSP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: "SCI'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF PATENT INVALIDITY", (Pages 1-2)	

Examiner	•	Date	
Signature		Considered	

<sup>\*</sup>EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

<sup>1</sup> Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.